

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/600,223	06/20/2003	Sudhindra P. Herle	2003.07.011.WT0	9788	
7590 11/21/2006			EXAM	INER	
Docket Clerk			RAMPURIA, SATISH		
P.O. Drawer 800 Dallas, TX 75			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2191	_	
			DATE MAILED: 11/21/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applica	tion No.	No. Applicant(s)					
		10/600,	223	HERLE, SUDHIN	HERLE, SUDHINDRA P.				
		Examin	er	Art Unit					
		Satish S	. Rampuria	2191					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appears on t	he cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	idress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA asions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months afted and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ALING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no inication. utory period will apply and vill, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNICATION Event, however, may a reply be will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from polication to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed m the mailing date of this o IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <i>20 June 200</i> 3							
·									
<i>'</i> —	, 								
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers				·				
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 January 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
			and doplod not record						
Attachmen	t(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			Paper No(s)/Mail	Mail Date					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	ratent Application					

Art Unit: 2191

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the application filed on June 20, 2003.

2. Claims 1-24 are pending.

Oath/Declaration

3. The Office acknowledges receipt of a properly signed oath/declaration filed June 20, 2003.

Specification

4. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because: the word "COU" should be CPU on line 16.

Drawings

5. The drawings were received on January 21, 2004. These drawings are acceptable by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

7. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Publication No. 2004/0068721 to O'Neill et al. (hereinafter, O'Neill).

⁽e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2191

Per claim 1:

O'Neill discloses:

- A wireless communication device capable of accessing a wireless network and downloading a software upgrade file therefrom (paragraph [0011] "provide software updates to one or more wireless communication devices"), said wireless communication device comprising:

- a first central processing unit (CPU) capable of controlling wireless communications with said wireless network (paragraph [0014] "...distribution environment provides a suitable distribution node... communication device");
- a first memory associated with said first CPU (paragraph [0032] "...non-volatile memory... and a volatile memory" Also See Fig. 2 and related discussion);
- a second central processing unit (CPU) capable of executing at least one end-user application on said wireless communication device (paragraph [0011] "...software updates are generated by executing the software processing package...resident...device to be updated"); and
- a second memory associated with said second CPU (paragraph [0032] "...non-volatile memory... and a volatile memory" Also See Fig. 2 and related discussion), wherein said first CPU downloads said software upgrade file from said wireless network and stores said downloaded software upgrade file in said second memory (paragraph [0032] "download agent...download...software from distribution... upload agent... uploads software... in the wireless communication device...in the non-volatile memory...software update...").

Art Unit: 2191

Per claim 2:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and further, O'Neill discloses:

- wherein said first CPU is capable of executing a first upgrade agent program that replaces

first existing code associated with a first existing software file in said first memory with

first replacement code from said downloaded software upgrade file (paragraph [0032]

"download agent...download...software from distribution... upload agent... uploads

software... in the wireless communication device...in the non-volatile

memory...software update...").

Per claim 3:

The rejection of claim 2 is incorporated and further, O'Neill discloses:

- wherein said first upgrade agent program is stored in said first memory ((paragraph

[0032] "download agent...download...software from distribution... upload agent...

uploads software... in the wireless communication device...in the non-volatile

memory...software update..." Also See Fig. 2, element 221 and related discussion).

Per claim 4:

The rejection of claim 3 is incorporated and further, O'Neill discloses:

- wherein said downloaded software upgrade file is transferred from said second memory

to said first memory by an interprocessor communication unit (paragraph [0032]

"download agent...download...software from distribution... upload agent... uploads

Art Unit: 2191

software... in the wireless communication device...in the non-volatile

memory...software update..." Also See Fig. 2, element 223).

Per claim 5:

The rejection of claim 4 is incorporated and further, O'Neill discloses:

- wherein said first CPU executes said first upgrade agent program after said downloaded

software upgrade file is transferred into said first memory from said second memory

(paragraph [0032] "download agent...download...software from distribution... upload

agent... uploads software... in the wireless communication device...in the non-volatile

memory...software update...").

Per claim 6:

The rejection of claim 3 is incorporated and further, O'Neill discloses:

- wherein said first upgrade agent program is transferred from said second memory and

stored in said first memory (paragraph [0032] "download agent...download...software

from distribution... upload agent... uploads software... in the wireless communication

device...in the non-volatile memory...software update..." Also See Fig. 2, element 223).

Per claim 7:

The rejection of claim 6 is incorporated and further, O'Neill discloses:

- wherein said downloaded software upgrade file and said first upgrade agent program are

transferred from said second memory to said first memory by an interprocessor

communication unit (paragraph [0032] "download agent...download...software from distribution... upload agent... uploads software... in the wireless communication device...in the non-volatile memory...software update..." Also See Fig. 2, element 223).

Page 6

Per claim 8:

The rejection of claim 7 is incorporated and further, O'Neill discloses:

- wherein said first CPU executes said first upgrade agent program after said downloaded software upgrade file is transferred into said first memory from said second memory (paragraph [0037] "software updates may be downloaded and easily executed using one or more update, download, and upload agents of the primary update environment in conjunction with one or more software applications, components, and/or firmware resident in memory of the wireless communication device").

Per claim 9:

The rejection of claim 2 is incorporated and further, O'Neill discloses:

- wherein said second CPU is capable of executing a second upgrade agent program that replaces second existing code associated with a second existing software file in said second memory with second replacement code from said downloaded software upgrade file (paragraph [0037] "software updates may be downloaded and easily executed using one or more update, download, and upload agents of the primary update environment in conjunction with one or more software applications, components, and/or firmware resident in memory of the wireless communication device").

Art Unit: 2191

Per claim 10:

The rejection of claim 9 is incorporated and further, O'Neill discloses:

- wherein said second upgrade agent program is stored in said second memory (paragraph

[0032] "download agent...download...software from distribution... upload agent...

uploads software... in the wireless communication device...in the non-volatile

memory...software update..." Also See Fig. 2, element 221 and related discussion).

Per claim 11:

The rejection of claim 10 is incorporated and further, O'Neill discloses:

- wherein said second upgrade agent program is transferred from said first memory and

stored in said second memory (paragraph [0032] "download agent...download...software

from distribution... upload agent... uploads software... in the wireless communication

device...in the non-volatile memory...software update...").

Per claim 12:

The rejection of claim 11 is incorporated and further, O'Neill discloses:

- wherein said second CPU executes said second upgrade agent program after said second

upgrade agent program is transferred into said second memory from said first memory

(paragraph [0037] "software updates may be downloaded and easily executed using one

or more update, download, and upload agents of the primary update environment in

Art Unit: 2191

conjunction with one or more software applications, components, and/or firmware resident in memory of the wireless communication device").

Claims 13-24 are the method claim corresponding to apparatus claims 1-12 respectively, and rejected under the same rational set forth in connection with the rejection of claims 1-12 respectively, as noted above.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Satish S. Rampuria whose telephone number is (571) 272-3732. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am to 5:00 pm Monday to Friday except every other Friday and federal holidays. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC 2100 Group receptionist: 571-272-2100.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wei Y. Zhen can be reached on (571) 272-3708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2191

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Satish S. Rampuria
Patent Examiner/Software Engineer
Art Unit 2191

WEI ZHEN

WEI ZHEN

WEI ZHEN

WEI ZHEN